

New Zealand Defence Force Defence Estate and Infrastructure NZDF Headquarters Private Bag 39997 Wellington 6045

Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan

Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Kaipara District Council

Address: District Plan Team, Kaipara District Council

Private Bag 1001 Dargaville 0340

Email: <u>districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz</u>

Submitter: New Zealand Defence Force

Contact Person: Rebecca Davies, Principal Statutory Planner

Address for Service: New Zealand Defence Force

C/- Tonkin + Taylor PO Box 9544 Hamilton 3204

Attention: Alia Cederman

Phone: +64 21 445 482

Email: rebecca.davies@nzdf.mil.nz / acederman@tonkintaylor.co.nz

General submission points

- 1. This is a submission by the New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF) on the Proposed Kaipara District Plan.
- 2. NZDF has military interests throughout New Zealand. While NZDF does not currently have any designated facilities within the Kaipara district itself, it operates the Kaipara Air Weapons Range (KAWR) in South Head across the harbour from Pouto Point. KAWR is designated in the Auckland Unitary Plan (Operative in Part) as designations 4312 and 4314, for Defence Purposes.
- 3. Pouto Point is situated within Military Operating Area 106 and opposite Military Operating Area 103. These Military Operating Areas are airspace designated by the Director Civil Aviation Authority to segregate military activities from other air traffic. ¹ Activities undertaken by NZDF within Military Operating Areas may include live firing or exercises involving explosives. On that basis, NZDF is interested in the Proposed Plan provisions that may enable any future development or increased activity in proximity to Pouto Point because of the potential for reverse sensitivity issues to arise.
- 4. Another key matter of importance to NZDF is the appropriate provision for temporary military training activities (TMTA) within the Proposed Kaipara District Plan. NZDF may need to undertake TMTA within the district from time to time. NZDF engages in statutory processes seeking appropriate and consistent rules for TMTA in all District Plans throughout the country to enable it to meet its statutory purposes and Government expectations.

¹ Rule 71.155 Civil Aviation Rules (Military Operating Areas), pursuant to the Civil Aviation Act 2023.

- 5. Section 5 of the Defence Act 1990 provides for the raising and maintenance of armed forces for specified purposes. Those purposes include the defence of New Zealand, the protection of the interests of New Zealand, the provision of assistance to the civil power in times of emergency and the provision of any public service.
- 6. TMTA are critical to maintaining armed forces. NZDF therefore undertakes TMTA around the country in order to meet statutory purposes under section 5 of the Defence Act 1990, and in order to meet Government output and capability requirements.
- 7. TMTA can include a range of activities, from office / classroom-based activities to large scale military exercise, and might involve search and rescue, infrastructure support (such as deployment of water purification and supply facilities as used in the aftermath of the Canterbury and Kaikoura earthquakes), bomb deactivation training, weapons firing, personnel movements and dog training etc. They may be undertaken over a period of hours, days or weeks on an intermittent or continuous basis, during both day and night.
- 8. Many TMTA carried out "off base" by NZDF personnel are essentially the same as training activities conducted by other public service organisations (e.g. NZ Police, NZ, Fire Service, NZ Land Search and Rescue). Training activities are carried out "off-base" for a variety of reasons, including providing the diversity and realism that is essential for effective training. Skills that are learned and practiced "on-base" must be tested or extended in unfamiliar, real-world contexts, "off base". "Off Base" TMTA may need to occur across different zones within a District, or across District boundaries. Particular locations are chosen in accordance with the specific requirements of the TMTA, and logistical considerations.
- 9. NZDF therefore seeks appropriate TMTA provisions to be included in all zones in a District Plan, either in a general chapter (preferred for ease of Plan use and clarity) or on a zone-by-zone basis (depending on the structure of the Plan). As activities are uniquely military in nature, it is appropriate to have specific noise provisions to address their effects. NZDF has commissioned professional acoustic advice and developed a set of noise standards specific to TMTA that it seeks to be included in every District Plan in New Zealand.
- 10. The matters above identify general submission points on the Proposed Kaipara District Plan. In addition, and to further assist (but not limit the points above), specific provisions NZDF wishes to see amended are set out in the attached table. NZDF seeks relief that addresses the points above, as well as in the attached table 1, including any such further alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to this submission.
- 11. NZDF **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 12. NZDF wishes to be heard in support of this submission.
- 13. If others make a similar submission, **NZDF will consider** presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Marits		00/00/0005
	Date _	30/06/2025
Person authorised to sign on behalf of New Zealand Defence Force	Date _	

Table 1

The following table sets out the decisions sought by NZDF, including specific amendments to the provisions of the Proposed Kaipara District Plan (shown in <u>underline</u> and <u>strikethrough</u>) and further reasons for NZDF's support or opposition to these provisions, in addition to those set out in the body of this submission (above).

Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.
Whole of Proposed District Plan		Temporary Activities and provisions enabling TMTA to occur as a permitted activity across all zones in the district subject to appropriate standards.	Ensure that there are appropriate provisions to enable NZDF to undertake temporary military training activities to uphold its statutory purposes under section 5 of the Defence Act 1990 and as part of its function of maintaining the nation's security.
Cross boundary matters	Support	NZDF supports the recognition of cross boundary matters. As noted above, NZDF operates the Kaipara Air Weapons Range, designated in the AUP(OP). While this is not located within the Kaipara District, the operation of this facility may be impacted by development within Kaipara due to its proximity to Pouto Point.	Retain provisions that recognise effects occur across boundaries.
ion - Definitions Infrastructure definition	Oppose	NZDF seeks that Defence facilities are included in the definition of infrastructure. Defence facilities are critical for New Zealand's security and for the safety and well-being of the community. As such, they should be identified as infrastructure. This is consistent with a number of District Plans throughout New Zealand. While NZDF does	Amend the definition of infrastructure to refer to Defence facilities e.g.as follows Infrastructure Includes: (m) Defence facilities
	Whole of Proposed District Plan Cross boundary matters on - Definitions Infrastructure	Whole of Proposed District Plan Cross boundary matters Support Support Support On - Definitions Infrastructure Oppose	Whole of Proposed District Plan Cross Boundary Matters Support Support NZDF supports a specific chapter for Temporary Activities and provisions enabling TMTA to occur as a permitted activity across all zones in the district subject to appropriate standards. NZDF supports the recognition of cross boundary matters. As noted above, NZDF operates the Kaipara Air Weapons Range, designated in the AUP(OP). While this is not located within the Kaipara District, the operation of this facility may be impacted by development within Kaipara due to its proximity to Pouto Point. NZDF seeks that Defence facilities are included in the definition of infrastructure. Defence facilities are critical for New Zealand's security and for the safety and well- being of the community. As such, they should be identified as infrastructure. This is consistent with a number of District Plans

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.
			for NZDF to need facilities in this district at some point in the future.	
4	Noise sensitive activities	Support	This definition includes the appropriate and relevant noise sensitive activities in relation to the Plan provisions.	Retain approach set out in definition.
5	Reverse sensitivity	New definition	NZDF requests the inclusion of a definition of reverse sensitivity. While NZDF does not currently operate any sites within the Kaipara District, Kaipara Air Weapons Range is located across the harbour from Poutō Point and may be subject to reverse sensitivity issues, due to encroaching residential development. Therefore, it is important that 'reverse sensitivity' is defined clearly in the plan.	Add a definition of reverse sensitivity to address submission point. Suggested wording of reverse sensitivity is set out below: Reverse Sensitivity: The potential for an existing lawful activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by the lawfully established existing activity.
6	Regionally significant infrastructure	Support in Part	Defence facilities are nationally and regionally significant and are critical to enabling NZDF to meet statutory Defence purposes under the Defence Act 1990. NZDF is seeking nationally consistent provisions to ensure Defence facilities and infrastructure are appropriately recognised and provided for in District and Regional Plans around the country. Defence facilities are key strategic infrastructure of national and regional importance. NZDF facilities enable people and communities to provide for their wellbeing through their varied activities, including national security and assisting the civil powers in emergencies.	Amend the definition of infrastructure to Include 'Defence facilities' e.g. as follows: Regionally significant infrastructure includes: (j) Defence facilities

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.
7.	Temporary military training activity	Support	NZDF supports the inclusion of the definition of Temporary Military Training Activity as per the National Planning Standards for the reasons given in the main body of the submission.	Retain TMTA definition as per National Planning Standards.
Energy, Ir	nfrastructure and T	ransport - Infrastru	ucture	
8.	Infrastructure intro	Support	NZDF supports the application of the infrastructure chapter to all forms of infrastructure. Non-linear forms of infrastructure are important and should be provided for alongside linear infrastructure.	Retain the application of the infrastructure chapter to all forms of infrastructure, including non-linear forms of infrastructure.
9.	INF-O2	Support	It is important to recognise the positive effects of infrastructure while acknowledging that infrastructure can have adverse effects on the environment which need to be managed appropriately.	Retain the approach set out in objective INF-O2, including recognising the positive effects of infrastructure.
10) INF-P1	Support	It is important to recognise and provide for the functions and responsibilities of infrastructure including as lifeline utilities during an emergency. NZDF may be required to assist in response to an emergency.	Retain approach set out in policy INF-P1, including recognising the benefits of infrastructure and recognising and providing for the functions and responsibilities of infrastructure including as lifeline utilities during an emergency.
1	1 INF-P3	Support in part	NZDF supports the inclusion of policy relating to the protection of regionally significant infrastructure. NZDF requests the expansion of this policy to refer to regionally significant infrastructure more generally rather than only providing for 'existing or planned'. While NZDF does not currently have facilities in the district, this does not preclude the potential need for facilities in the future.	Retain policy INF-P3 relating to regionally significant infrastructure but amend the policy to address potential future regionally significant infrastructure e.g.: INF-P3 2. Protect the effectiveness and efficiency of existing and planned regionally significant infrastructure.

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.
12	INF-P11	Support	NZDF supports Policy INF-P11 relating to locating and designing new sensitive activities to minimise reverse sensitivity effects on infrastructure. On the basis that NZDF's requested amendments to the definition of regionally significant infrastructure are adopted, this policy would provide defence facilities with appropriate support and protection.	Retain approach set out in policy framework to address reverse sensitivity effects on infrastructure.
	INF-P12	Support	NZDF supports Policy INF-P12 relating to the protection of regionally significant infrastructure from incompatible subdivision, use and development. On the basis that NZDF's requested amendments to the definition of regionally significant infrastructure are adopted, this policy would provide defence facilities with appropriate support and protection.	Retain approach set out in policy framework.
			d Indigenous Biodiversity	
	ECO-R2	Support	NZDF supports a permitted activity rule which provides for a specified (small) area of indigenous vegetation clearance and associated land disturbance.	Retain approach set out in rule.
		 Natural Charact 		
15	NATC-P3	Support	NZDF supports this policy which provides for use and development where there is a functional or operational need to be located within riparian margins. TMTA, for example, may at times have a functional or operational need to be located within riparian margins e.g. water take structures.	Retain approach set out in policy.

	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.
NATC-R2	Support	NZDF supports a permitted activity for new buildings and structures within wetland, lake and river margins for pumphouses and river crossings. This may cover water pumps or treatment structures in the riparian margin (located within 20 m of a river) if they are fixed, even temporarily.	Retain approach set out in rule.
	s – Natural Feature		
NFL-R2	Oppose in part	This rule would require temporary buildings and structures associated with TMTA to obtain a resource consent. Given the temporary nature of the activity, NZDF requests that temporary buildings and structures associated with TMTA be permitted, either explicitly in the NFL Chapter or by excluding the application of the NFL Chapter to temporary activities such as TMTA.	Provide for temporary buildings and structures associated with TMTA as a permitted activity, either explicitly in the NFL Chapter or preferably by excluding the application of the NFL Chapter to TMTA. NFL-R2 New buildings and structures Where: a. The building or structure is: A temporary building or structure for temporary military training activities
vironment Value	s – Public Access		
9 PA-P1	Oppose	Public access may need to be restricted at times, such as during activities undertaken by NZDF. NZDF requests amendment to policy PA-P1 or the inclusion of a policy which provides for public access to be excluded from areas for health and safety reasons, or reasons which would conflict with defence activities/TMTA.	Amend approach set out in policy framework to specifically provide for public access restrictions where appropriate e.g. for health and safety reasons, or reasons which would conflict with defence activities/TMTA. PA-P3 Restriction of public access Only allow restriction of public walking access to, along and adjacent to the coastal marine area where the restriction is necessary to: [including any other reasons as necessary]
	nvironment Value	NATC-R2 Support Nironment Values – Natural Feature NFL-R2 Oppose in part Nironment Values – Public Access	NATC-R2 Support NZDF supports a permitted activity for new buildings and structures within wetland, lake and river margins for pumphouses and river crossings. This may cover water pumps or treatment structures in the riparian margin (located within 20 m of a river) if they are fixed, even temporarily. NFL-R2 Oppose in part This rule would require temporary buildings and structures associated with TMTA to obtain a resource consent. Given the temporary nature of the activity, NZDF requests that temporary buildings and structures associated with TMTA be permitted, either explicitly in the NFL Chapter or by excluding the application of the NFL Chapter to temporary activities such as TMTA. Invironment Values — Public Access PA-P1 Oppose Public access may need to be restricted at times, such as during activities undertaken by NZDF. NZDF requests amendment to policy PA-P1 or the inclusion of a policy which provides for public access to be excluded from areas for health and safety reasons, or reasons which would conflict with defence

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	*While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below. a. Protect public health or safety, or b. Provide for temporary activities or special events, or c. Provide for the safe and efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure, or d. Address other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction
General Di	strict-Wide Matte	rs – Coastal Enviro	onment	
	CE-P5	Support	NZDF supports this policy which provides for use and development where there is a functional or operational need for regionally significant infrastructure to be located within the coastal environment.	Retain approach set out in policy framework.
	CE-R2	Oppose in part	TMTA may require the placement of temporary buildings and structures in the coastal environment to enable training exercises such as beach landings. These are temporary activities, and it is not appropriate for the same requirements to be applied as for permanent buildings and structures. Given the temporary nature of the activity, NZDF requests that buildings and structures and earthworks associated with TMTA be permitted, either explicitly in the CE Chapter or preferably by excluding the application of the CE Chapter to TMTA.	Provide for temporary buildings and structures and earthworks associated with TMTA as a permitted activity, either explicitly in the CE Chapter or preferably by excluding the application of the CE Chapter to TMTA. Rules Notes: 6. The rules in the Coastal Environment Chapter do not apply to temporary military training activities.
General Di	strict-Wide Matte	rs – Earthworks		
21	EW-R1	Support	NZDF supports a permitted earthworks rule which enables a specified area of earthworks outside of mapped significant ecological areas.	Retain approach set out in rule.

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.				
	General District-Wide Matters - Noise							
22	NOISE-O2	Support in part	NZDF supports the recognition of reverse sensitivity as an issue and the direction to provide for the protection of noise generating activities.	Retain approach set out in objective to protect noise generating activities.				
23	NOISE-P6	Support	Temporary activities may produce temporary noise effects which are not consistent with the typical amenity values of the receiving environment, however, such effects are by nature temporary, and therefore should be provided for notwithstanding of the amenity values of the receiving environment.	Retain approach set out in policy.				
24	NOISE-R6	Support in part	NZDF requests that an exclusion is applied to this rule for helicopter landings associated with TMTA. Helicopter landing area is not defined in the Plan, and we expect that it is only meant to cover permanent facilities. There needs to be better clarification on how this rule applies to permanent versus temporary landings.	Clarify that Rule NOISE-R6 applies to permanent helicopter landing areas e.g. through insertion of a definition or insertion of an exclusion for temporary helicopter landings including those associated with TMTA. Definition: Helicopter landing area Helicopter landing area means any area of land, building, or structure intended or designed to be used, whether wholly or partly, for helicopter movement or servicing, other than: 1. Helicopter landings for emergencies by New Zealand Defence Force: 2. Helicopter landings associated with temporary military training activities. 3				
25	NOISE-R7	Support	NZDF supports the inclusion of TMTA-specific noise rules. It is appropriate to provide for	Amend default activity status of NOISE-R7 as set out below (and in Attachment 1).				

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.
			noise from TMTA as a permitted activity that applies on a district wide basis / across all zones in the plan. As outlined in the introduction to this submission, military training activities form an important element of the activities NZDF undertakes to meet statutory defence purposes. TMTA that do not meet the permitted activity standards should be provided for as a controlled activity. Controlled activity status provides a level of certainty to NZDF that an activity can proceed and allows Council the control to ensure relevant effects (being noise) are appropriately managed. In determining what conditions to impose, Council should limit its control to effects relating to the permitted activity noise standards only.	 Activity status when compliance not achieved: Controlled Restricted Discretionary Matters over which discretion control is restricted reserved: The level of noise and disruption to activities that will be experienced on adjacent sites; The timing and duration of the noise, including potential sleep disturbance effects; The number of events proposed and periods of respite between events; The cumulative noise effects of the proposal and any other consented activities; and The proposed measures to manage noise levels throughout the event, including advanced communications prior to the event.
20	6 NOISE-RX	Oppose	NZDF has developed noise standards specific to TMTA and has successfully sought inclusion of these in a number of District Plans across New Zealand. NZDF requests that its noise standards for all other sources/ fixed noise are used for TMTA in addition to the standards for noise from TMTA involving weapons and explosives. Note: These are an updated version of the standards provided with NZDF's previous feedback on the Draft Kaipara District Plan.	Insert new permitted activity rule for noise from all other TMTA sources set out below (and in Attachment 1). NOISE-RX – Noise from all other TMA sources 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The activity complies with the following noise levels:

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	*W or ma NZ	consequential amen by be required in ord	ntifies specific relief sough dments, or wording to simer to address the points ramission above or the spe	nilar effect, aised by
					Time 7.00 am - 7.00 pm 7.00 pm - 7.00 am	Moise limit 55 dB LAeq(15 min) 45 dB LAeq(15 min) 75 dB LAFmax	
				б.	at or within the not a noise sensitive at The night-time (7.0 is 1 m from the fac sensitive activity in	am – 7.00 pm) assessment on all boundary of a building ctivity. O pm – 7.00 am) assessment of a building containing any zone. Note – no façasessing noise 1 m from the containing containing any zone.	ng containing nent location ng a noise ade correction
					achieved: Cor 3. Matters over v reserved:	s when compliance not htrolled Restricted Discret which discretion control atters in NOISE-MAT1 an	is restricted
					b. The timing and potential sleep	ise and disruption to actived on adjacent sites; I duration of the noise, incondisturbance effects; events proposed and perform events;	luding

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below. d. The cumulative noise effects of the proposal and any other consented activities; and e. The proposed measures to manage noise levels throughout the event, including advanced communications prior to the event.
27	NOISE-R14	Oppose	NZDF seeks to make it clear that this rule relating to noise shooting ranges does not apply to TMTA or NZDF activities.	Amend NOISE-R14 to include an exemption for TMTA as set out below NOISE-R14 Noise shooting ranges Note: This rule does not apply Temporary Military Training Activities.
General D	istrict-Wide Matter	rs - Temporary Act	ivities	
28	TEMP – Whole Chapter	Support in part	NZDF seeks clarification that only specific rules in the Temporary Activities Chapter apply to TMTA. It is not clear whether all TEMP rules apply to TMTA. There is a further lack of clarity in the wording of TEMP-R1 which appears to have a double negative and could apply to TMTA (as an activity listed below this rule).	Clarify relationship between rules in the Temporary Activities Chapter and make it clear that TEMP-R2 is the only rule applying to temporary activities. Rules NOTES: 4. Temporary military training activities are only subject to TEMP-R2. Amend TEMP-R1 to clearly identify which activities are subject to this rule. TEMP-R1 Temporary activities excluding any temporary activity not-listed below.
29	TEMP – Overview	Support	NZDF supports the note in the introduction which specifically provides for TMTA.	Retain approach set out in introduction.

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.	
30	TEMP-O1, TEMP-P1	Support	It is appropriate to recognise the benefits of temporary activities which contribute to the social, economic and cultural well-being of communities.	Retain approach set out in TEMP-O1 and TEMP-P1 including recognising the positive contribution of temporary activities.	
31	TEMP-P4	Support in part	NZDF supports a policy framework which is specific to TMTA. NZDF requests the wording of TEMP-P4 to be amended to provide clarity to Plan users.	Amend wording of TEMP-P4 to provide clarity: TEMP-P4 Temporary Military Training Activities Provide for temporary military training activities of a for a limited duration.	
32	TEMP-R2	Support in part	NZDF supports the inclusion of a separate rule that provides for TMTA in all zones. NZDF must undertake training in order to fulfil its statutory purposes under the Defence Act 1990. Including provision for TMTA as permitted activities provides certainty that such activities can take place when required, while providing adequate protection of the environment through compliance with appropriate standards. In relation to (a), NZDF notes that from time to time, and at the landowner's request, buildings or structures constructed as part of a TMTA may be permanent and not removed at the conclusion of the training exercise, provided it is a permitted activity, or a resource consent obtained. Buildings or structures constructed as part of TMTA should not be required to be removed when they are intended to be permanent and meet relevant permitted activity rules in the Plan (or	Retain permitted activity rule for TMTA in all zones, while amending the standards to better reflect TMTA operational requirements e.g. TEMP-R2 – Temporary military training activities 1. Activity status: Permitted Where: a. The activity does not exceed a duration of 31 consecutive days within a consecutive-12-month period on any site (excluding set up and pack down activities); b. Any ancillary building or structure is removed within seven days of the activity being completed unless provided for elsewhere in this plan as a permitted activity (or resource consents obtained for the structure); and c. The activity complies with TEMP-S2 - Temporary Military Training.	

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.
			otherwise a resource consent is obtained). This standard could be deleted as it is unnecessary given permanent structures would not be a temporary activity. Alternatively, its application could be clarified by amending the wording.	
			In relation to (b), NZDF notes that TMTA are by their nature temporary and can vary in duration depending on the training being undertaken. NZDF selects its proposed training sites depending on the specific requirements of the proposed training activity, with various different sites required to accommodate the training programme each year. While some sites may be used more than once, the duration of an exercise is unlikely to exceed 31 consecutive days. It is also appropriate to exclude any set up and pack down activities from this duration limit, because set and pack down does not generally form part of the TMTA itself.	
3:	3 TEMP-R2 (2)	Oppose in part	Due to the nature of TMTA and the need for NZDF to train in unfamiliar real-world situations, TMTA may be undertaken in any zone within a city / district. NZDF requests that the default activity status is amended to controlled. Controlled activity status provides a level of certainty to NZDF that an activity can proceed and allows Council the control to ensure relevant effects	Amend the activity status of TMTA that do not meet the permitted activity standards to controlled. 2. Activity status when compliance not achieved: Controlled Restricted Discretionary 3. Matters over which discretion control is restricted reserved: a. The character and amenity of the locality; b. The scale, duration and nature of the temporary activity;

Point	Provision	Support/ Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought* *While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect, may be required in order to address the points raised by NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points below.
			are appropriately managed. The existing matters of discretion can be used as matters of control.	c. Noise, dust and vibration effects; andd. Traffic effects on the land transport network.
	4 TEMP-S2	Oppose in Part	NZDF opposes the requirement to provide a noise management plan when undertaking TMTA in the General Residential Zone. While it is unlikely that TMTA involving weapons firing or use of explosives would be undertaken in the General Residential Zone, notice would be provided to Council of the activity and compliance would be required with the standards in the NOISE chapter. a.	Remove the requirement to provide noise management plan for TMTA from TEMP-S2. TEMP-S2 Temporary military training in General residential zone 1. Temporary military training activities in General residential zone involving weapons firing or the use of explosives must provide notice, and a noise management plan prepared by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer, to the Council at least 48 hours prior to the commencement of the activity, with the notice specifying: a. Whether the activity involves live firing and/or the use of explosives or firing of blank ammunition; b. The location of the activity and the boundaries within which the activity will take place; c. The distances to buildings used for activities sensitive to noise; and d. The timing and duration of the activity.
General F	Residential Zone			
3.	5 General residential zone	Oppose in part	The mapped extent of the residential zone around Pouto Point is proposed to increase under the Proposed Plan, enabling more residential development in proximity to this	Amend policy framework to ensure reverse sensitivity effects on existing established activities, including NZDF's activities and operations at South Head, are avoided.

Point	Provision	Support/	Reasons	Relief Sought*
		Oppose		*While this column identifies specific relief sought, additional
				or consequential amendments, or wording to similar effect,
				may be required in order to address the points raised by
				NZDF in the broad submission above or the specific points
				below.
			area. A greater intensity of residential	
			development in this location has the potential	
			to result in reverse sensitivity effects on	
			NZDF, for example if new residents are	
			disturbed by the military operations in this	
			area.	
			NZDF seeks provisions which provide for the	
			protection of the Kaipara Air Weapons Range	
			(KAWR) in South Head across the harbour	
			from Pouto Point from potential reverse	
			sensitivity effects. While KAWR is designated	
			in the AUP(OP), NZDF is seeking appropriate protection in the Proposed Kaipara District	
			Plan. NZDF requests a policy framework that	
			provides appropriate protection for existing	
			Defence facilities from adverse effects	
			(including reverse sensitivity effects)	
			associated with new development and new	
			noise sensitive activities.	

17

Attachment 1 – Temporary Military Training Activities noise standards

NOISE-R7	Noise from temporary military training activities involving wea	pons or explosives
All zones	1. Activity status: Permitted Where: 1. a. Weapons firing and/or the use of explosives must: i. Occur between the hours of 7.00am to 7.00pm; ii. Achieve either a 500m minimum separation distance to, or peak sound pressure level of 95 dBC when measured within any notional Boundary; and iii. Achieve either a 1250m minimum separation distance to, or peak sound pressure level of 85 dBC when measured within any notional Boundary.	 6. Matters over which control discretion is restricted reserved: a. The level of noise and disruption to activities that will be experienced on adjacent sites; b. The timing and duration of the noise, including potential sleep disturbance effects; c. The number of events proposed and periods of respite between events; d. The cumulative noise effects of the proposal and any other consented activities; and e. The proposed measures to manage noise levels throughout the event, including advanced communications prior to the event. a. The matters in NOISE-MAT1 and NOISE-MAT3.

NOISE-RX	Noise from all other TMTA sources		
<u>All zones</u>	1. Activity status: Permitted Where:		2. Activity status when compliance not achieved: Controlled Restricted Discretionary
	a. The activity complies w	vith the following noise levels:	3. Matters over which discretion control is restricted reserved:
	<u>Time</u> <u>No</u>	pise limit	a. The matters in NOISE-MAT1 and NOISE-
	7.00 am – 7.00 <u>55</u> pm	dB LAeq(15 min)	MAT3.

7.00 pm - 7.00	45 dB LAeq(15 min)		
<u>am</u>		75 <u>B</u>	
		<u>LAFr</u>	nax

- b. The daytime (7.00 am 7.00 pm) assessment location is at or within the notional boundary of a building containing a noise sensitive activity.
- c. The night-time (7.00 pm 7.00 am) assessment location is 1 m from the façade of a building containing a noise sensitive activity in any zone. Note no façade correction is applied when assessing noise 1 m from the façade of a building.

- a. The level of noise and disruption to activities that will be experienced on adjacent sites;
- b. The timing and duration of the noise, including potential sleep disturbance effects;
- c. The number of events proposed and periods of respite between events:
- d. The cumulative noise effects of the proposal and any other consented activities; and
- e. The proposed measures to manage noise levels throughout the event, including advanced communications prior to the event.